

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/006,369	12/10/2001	Brian Gregory Chapman	P 0290400 D1030-CON2	2376
7590 10/09/2003			EXAMINER	
	operty Group of		LUR, EMMANUEL S	
Pillsbury Winthrop LLP 1600 Tysons Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
McLean, VA			1722	

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AN DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFIC WASHINGTON, DC 202:

Paper No.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

compliandocume	21, as an nt, correct nt conta	document filed on <u>PROBALS</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 nended on June 30, 2003 (see 68 Fed. Reg. 38611, Jun. 30, 2003). In order for the amendment document to be stion of the following omission(s) or provision is required. Only the section (1.121(h)) of the amendment ining the omission or non-compliant provision must be resubmitted (in its entirety), e.g., the entire to the claims" section of applicant's amendment document must be re-submitted.		
THE FO		NG CHECKED (X) ELEMENTS(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: adments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other		
	2. Abstr □ □	A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other		
	3. Amer	ndments to the drawings:		
	4. Amer	A. A complete listing of <u>all</u> of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all claims (incl. withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. E. Other:		
For furth	ner expla	nation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP Sec. 714 and the USPTO website at website at 		

July 22, 2003 (rev.)

status of the amendment.

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE)

REVISED AMENDMENT PRACTICE HIGHLIGHTS

Technical Support Staff

Requirements of the revised amendment practice of the final rule for all amendments filed on or after <u>July 30, 2003</u>:

- 1. Each amendment section must begin on a separate sheet
- 2. A <u>separate "clean" version</u> of a currently amended paragraph or claim is NO LONGER REQUIRED (except for a <u>substitute</u> specification see No. 5 below)
- 3. If the amendment adds, changes, or deletes any claim, a <u>listing of all</u> <u>claims</u> that are, or were, in the application, must be provided as follows:
 - The claims must be listed in ascending numerical order
 - The text of all pending claims including withdrawn claims must be presented; no text for "canceled" or "not entered" claims
 - A <u>status identifier</u> must be presented in parentheses after the claim number for each claim; only the following identifiers are permitted: (original), (currently amended), (canceled), (withdrawn), (new), (previously presented), and (not entered)
 - All "currently amended" claims must have <u>markings</u> to show changes; the only markings permitted are underlining for added matter, strikethrough and double brackets [[]] (for five or fewer characters) for deleted matter
 - Grouping of consecutive "canceled" or "not entered" claims permitted (e.g., claims 2-8 (canceled))
- 4. Upon receipt of a complete listing of all claims, LIEs should cancel all previous versions of claims in the application by drawing a line through the previous versions of claims
- 5. Amendments to the specification by <u>marked-up replacement</u> <u>paragraphs or sections only</u> no clean replacement paragraph or section is required; <u>NO</u> replacement <u>sheets</u> permitted
 - Note, however, that for <u>substitute</u> specifications, rule 1.125 continues to require both a clean and marked-up copy
- 6. <u>Drawing changes</u> without markings clean replacement drawing sheets only annotated sheet(s) showing changes may be submitted
 - Explanation separately provided